



2019

*Japan-U.S. Symposium on Building
the Financial System of the 21st
Century*





**PROMOTING CROSS-BORDER LENDING FOR
ECONOMIC GROWTH:
REGULATION AND STABILITY**



Status of Cross-Border Lending

- Range of cross-border funding activities
 - Both bank and non-bank
 - Other financial flows (including impact of derivatives markets)
- Measurement and data issues
 - Insufficiency of data and info channels – counterparty, concentration, credit quality (risk premium)
 - Data quality – weak disclosure and standards in many jurisdictions
 - Limits to access by market participants and regulators
- Pressures on cross-border lending
 - Dollar funding squeezed – even Japan affected
 - Unmet needs for investment in emerging markets
 - Financial infrastructures often lacking
 - Is it possible to recycle trapped capital?
 - Fragmentation of global credit markets, regulatory capital, derivatives



Regulation and Fragmentation

- G20 standards and domestic responses
 - Basel capital and liquidity standards raise costs of compliance
 - Differential implementation across jurisdictions
 - Ring-fencing of capital and liquidity drives fragmentation
 - Also weakens principle of SPOE
- Cross-border regulatory issues
 - Inconsistent standards for equivalence and mutual recognition
 - Rise of extraterritoriality (Volcker Rule, GDPR, IBOR, unbundling)
 - Proposed reform to Japan's Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Act may stifle cross-border investment
 - Data localization in some jurisdictions impedes cross-border capital flows (but TPP and recent US-Japan agreement are more positive moves)



Trust and Regulatory Cooperation

- Is fragmentation driven by lack of trust among regulators?
 - Interpersonal trust among regulators important for communication and coordination, but will not ensure effective cross-border resolution
 - Weakening of LOLR function, esp. in U.S., increases need to protect own taxpayers' money; disincentivizes coordination
 - Regulators ultimately accountable to citizens, not foreign counterparts
 - If not trust, can at least strive for transparency, predictability, understanding
- Political challenges
 - Age of anti-globalist populism
 - Perception that banks evaded responsibility for financial crisis
 - How to be accountable to citizens, stakeholders, and foreign partners?
 - Concerns regarding excess global liquidity, destabilization of emerging markets (à la 1997)



The Road Forward

- Global standards
 - Are gains in financial stability worth costs of compliance?
 - Need to develop common approach to equivalence, regulatory deference based on principles or outcomes
- Mechanisms of coordination
 - Do we need more or fewer regulatory bodies?
 - Can extraterritoriality be avoided through better consultation?
- Technical alternatives
 - Potential of new technologies (e.g., blockchain) to improve settlement processes
 - Alternative channels for financial intermediation, Libra or other stablecoins
 - How do these change the need for trust and formal cooperation?



Passive/Active Investment Strategies and Implications for Market Functioning and Corporate Governance



Rise of Passive Investment

- What is meant by passive investment?
 - Composition of indexes varies widely; rise of bespoke indexes
 - ETFs may or may not be passive investment
- Advantages of passive investment
 - Cost, efficiency, performance
 - Transparency of assets
- Disadvantages
 - Concerns about price determination, herd behavior, concentration of ownership
 - Evidence is limited
 - Passive is still minority of assets even in US, share of trading even smaller
 - Retail investors in passive investment vehicles don't show herd behavior
- Rise of passive funds may open opportunities for active and activist investors



Institutional Investors & Corporate Governance

- **Passive investors**
 - Can support good governance through engagement and voting
 - Concentrated holdings can lead to greater influence
 - But is quality high?
 - Low fees mean lack of incentive to build capability => reliance on proxy advisors
 - May result in checkbox approach
- **Active and activist investors**
 - Active ≠ activist
 - Engagement may carry greater weight due to possibility of exit
 - “Engagement fatigue”
- **Private equity**
 - Is private model inherently better for governance and performance?



Corporate Governance in Japan

- What is good corporate governance?
 - Mixed evidence of effects on corporate performance
 - Corporate governance for whom?
 - Business Roundtable: from shareholder value to stakeholder
 - ESG increasingly demanded by authorities, investors – environmental, stewardship
- Corporate governance in Japan
 - Significant top-down transformation
 - Stewardship Code, Corporate Governance Code
 - Practical effects
 - Increase in independent directors, committee structure
 - More shareholder proposals, proxy fights, M&A
 - Possible positive effects on productivity, ROE
 - How transformative are structural changes?
 - Lack of director diversity, persistence of informational asymmetries



Investment by Japanese Official Actors

- BOJ and GPIF have become largest equity holders
 - Each holds about 5% of Japanese equities
 - Asset management largely passive, outsourced to professionals
- GPIF is vigorous in promoting corporate governance
 - Has taken leadership in corporate governance reform
 - Strict adherence to Stewardship Code
- BOJ takes passive approach to investing and governance
 - Outsources voting and engagement to outside managers who rely on proxy advisors
 - Does this weaken corporate governance reform in Japan?



UPCOMING EVENTS

Europe-U.S. Symposium

Washington, DC

March 5-7, 2020

China-U.S. Symposium

Hong Kong

June 3-5, 2020

To receive an invitation to other PIFS Symposia,
or to recommend your colleagues for participation, please contact:

Whitney Vasey

wwasey@pifsinternational.org | 857-242-6072

www.pifsinternational.org